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Outline

• Our journey – how did we get here?
  • Initiatives and projects both campus-wide and in the Libraries

• Changing environments, changing staff
  • Libraries
  • Office of Research, Development and Innovation (RDI)
  • University Information Technology Services (UITS)

• Scaling services, future directions
Research Data Management services

Development of data management services

• Researchers will be able to devote more time to research
• Supports goal of developing a RD ecosystem that facilitates reproducibility
  • Integrates data, code, workflows, other scholarly artifacts (outputs)
• Positive impact on institution’s prestige and success in obtaining outside research funds

Integrates FAIR principles – Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable
University of Arizona Quick Facts

• Land grant, doctoral granting, Carnegie RU/VH (Research 1)
• FY17 - $606M in grant funding
• Faculty – 3,158
• Undergraduates – 34,072
• Graduate Students and Professional Students – 9,553
Our Journey

- Data Curation Librarian appointed
- DM Advisory Committee
- E-Science Institute

DM Committee appointed

RDM Survey

- DMDC Pilot
- Public Access Working Group

RDM Specialist hired
- Open Research Task Force

Our Journey continues!
Campus Data Management Advisory Committee

Recommendations (2011)

• Library serve as point of service for data management and creation of DMP plans

• Support for developing needed cyberinfrastructure to support data storage for both short and long term data management needs

• Libraries, UITS, and RDI develop long-term strategy for support of data storage, data access and data preservation

• Establish ongoing Campus Data Management Committee, report to Libraries, RDI, and UITS
Research Data Management Survey

Campus Data Management and Curation Subcommittee conducted RDM Survey in spring 2014 to discover RDM and curation needs of the UA community

Overall questions included:
• How is research data currently being managed?
• What is the demand for current services?
• What new services are needed?

Survey sent to researchers, graduate students, post-doctoral associates
Received 375 responses across a broad range of UA departments and units
RDM Survey results

Interest in Data Management Services

- Data storage and preservation
- Information or help preparing data management plans
- Data sharing tools
- Data management best practices
- Campus data repository
- Data management workshops
- Data documentation
- Assistance meeting data management requirements for funding
- Confidentiality and legal issues
- Personalized consultation
- Finding appropriate data repositories
- Help selecting data for long-term preservation
- Digitization of physical materials
- Data citation services
- Other (please specify)
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RDM Survey Recommendations

Continue RDM services currently offered and explore offering RDM services related to:

• Data storage and data storage tools
• Data repository
• Data documentation and metadata
• Confidentiality and legal issues

To move forward and gain a better understanding of potential services and tools researchers need

• Developed Data Management and Data Curation Pilot
  • Funded by RCGC sponsors – Libraries, UITS, RDI, Health Sciences
DMDC Pilot

• Goals of the pilot
  • What RDM services are needed
  • What worked well, what didn’t work well
  • Training needs
  • Feasibility of implementing these services campus-wide, how can we scale to campus?
## Pilot participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
<th>Data types</th>
<th>Est. data storage</th>
<th>Length of project</th>
<th>Sensitive or protected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entomology</td>
<td>PI, 3 co-PIs, 5+ collaborators, Lab Manager</td>
<td>Proprietary, Excel, images</td>
<td>40 GB</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Education</td>
<td>PI &amp; graduate student</td>
<td>Excel, CSV, python scripts</td>
<td>250 MB</td>
<td>3+ years</td>
<td>Yes, IRB, FERPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Biology</td>
<td>2 Research Scientists, PI, 2 students, 5+ collaborators</td>
<td>Access, shapefiles, ArcInfo coverages, images</td>
<td>5 TB</td>
<td>25+ years</td>
<td>Yes, protected (endangered species)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer research</td>
<td>Data Mgr, 3 Research Admin, 4 PIs, 5+ collaborators (3 institutions)</td>
<td>Images, murine models, Access, Excel, SAS, SPSS, Stata</td>
<td>50 GB</td>
<td>30+ years</td>
<td>Yes, HIPAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>Data Manager, 2 PIs, 4 co-PIs, 40+ students, volunteers</td>
<td>Research data in RedCap</td>
<td>20 GB</td>
<td>2 years max</td>
<td>Yes, IRB (standard, tribal)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Entomology

Issue – how postdocs and students collect, label, and transfer data to PI

Results

• Developed data workflow
• Recommended standard data management best practices
• Added research data to the Campus Repository

Moth image: By Fir0002 at English Wikipedia - Adapted (background edited) from File:Polyphemus moth.jpg (Own work) (Transferred from en.wikipedia to Commons.), CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=153565
Engineering Education

Issues – moving data from Excel to SQL database, document python script, and de-identify data

Results

• Use Box to store data – anonymized data as outlined by IRB
• Documentation of python script
• Decided to keep data in in Excel and use R for analysis
• Provided information on visualization and analysis support
• Provided suggestions for journals to publish research
Wildlife Biology

Issues – file versioning, access and management protocols, managing student datasets, and sharing archived data

Results

• Document data workflow and provided feedback on documentation
• Recommended metadata schema (Ecological Metadata Language)
• Developed a procedure for student created data
• Guidance on migrating from older versions of Access

Mt. Graham Squirrel image, University of Arizona Conservation Research Laboratory, https://cals.arizona.edu/research/red squirrel/mgrs-photos.html
Cancer Research

Issues – NIH grant review, study closure and data disposition, good data management practices

Results

• Developed data workflow diagrams
• Feedback on grant proposal
• DM workshop for project team
• Recommended data repositories and developed sample check-lists for disposal or deposit of data
• Document grant and legal requirements for retaining data and physical samples
Public Health

Issues – document and versioning control, document data workflow and data protocols

Results

- Developed workflows for data collection
- Recommended data management best practices, access restrictions for documents
- Recommended Trello for keeping track of file and data requests
- Reviewed Tribal IRB and consent forms, legal requirements for retaining data
- Created data disposition checklist for end of project
Feedback from participants

"Even though I’ve been doing data management for a long time, working with the library on this data project was very useful to me. It was good to know the most recent techniques and to get an outside perspective on work that we were doing." (Vicki Greer, UA Wildlife Biologist)

RDM practices greatly improved and skills/protocols easily transferable to other projects

RDM workshop, well-received and bolstered their credibility with the PIs

Reinforced the importance of having a DMP

Would have liked more “hand-holding”, wanted specific templates and checklists and specific concrete tools

Consider fee-for-service for UAHS (2 projects)

Three most helpful aspects – tools we suggested to manage the project, workflow document, & awareness to be more data-savvy

IASSIST & CARTO 2018: Once Upon a Data Point
General Recommendations

• Services need to be fully developed, robust, agile, and available at point of need, part of researcher’s workflow and as transparent as possible

• Concrete solutions are better – checklists, rubrics, templates, protocols customized for their needs

• Offer for-credit class in RDM; offer training and support services at new faculty orientation and beginning of grants
## Specific Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service or Project</th>
<th>Priority Level</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OSF for Institutions</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS) and UITS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate services with RDI, Sponsored Projects; notifications of library’s RDM services</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS) and RDI (Sponsored Projects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Repository</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS and TeSS); RDI and UITS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training for liaisons</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELNs</strong></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training sessions for graduate students and post-docs</strong></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS and R &amp; L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online Tutorials</strong></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS, R &amp; L), RDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Management website update</strong></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Libraries (ODIS and TeSS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation Progress

• Setup
  - OSF INSTITUTIONS
• Collaborate with other units – Graduate Studies, Center for Postdoctoral Studies
  - Held two part data literacy workshop for post-docs
  - Graduate student workshop on RDM best practices
• Developed planning proposal for Data Repository
• Exploring potential other services as part of a data repository
Next Steps

• Data repository
• ELN survey
• Integrate data management into graduate level courses in collaboration with liaisons
• Provide training for liaisons
• Establish a core library data management committee (meets monthly) and hold library data management meetings quarterly for all liaisons
How to scale services

• Involve liaisons
  • With only two of us, we can’t do it all! We need help keeping up with disciplinary needs and changes in the landscape

• Involvement of liaisons
  • More than one-way communication
  • Liaisons provide basic RDM workshops for their departments
  • How can we integrate data literacy components into both graduate and undergraduate classes

• Support, from campus – develop services in conjunction with other units, such as RDI and UITS
Changing UA campus and Library environment

• Libraries
  • Research Data Management Specialist (Sept. 2017)
  • Data Science Specialist (Spring 2017)
  • GIS Specialist (2015)
  • Digital Preservation Librarian (Spring 2017)
  • Metadata Librarian (Fall 2016)

• Office of Research, Development and Innovation
  • VP for Research

• University Information Technology Services
  • VP for Information Strategy and University Libraries (Dean of Libraries reports to her)
  • Director of Research Technologies
  • Associate Director of Research Technologies
Open Research Task Force

Goal - develop recommendations for an Open Research ecosystem by performing a needs assessment, environmental scan, and resource assessment

• Sponsored by Faculty Senate Research Policy Committee, RDI, Libraries, and UITS

• Task force members include representatives from RDI, UITS, Libraries, and academic departments

• Final report with recommendations are currently being developed
Conclusion

• Several years providing RDM services – now able to do more outreach, workshops, develop additional services

• Hiring a RDM Specialist has made a huge difference; other positions in our department help support our services

• Setting up OSF4Institutions provided more opportunities for outreach to researchers, post-docs, and graduate students
  • Tool that fits in well with established workflow
  • Integrates with tools researchers are already using
Questions?

Thank You!!
Chris Kollen, kollen@email.arizona.edu