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Searchable English metadata

Approx. 700 study descriptions (SL in EN)

Academic surveys / official microdata / international surveys

Persistent identifier (in cooperation with National Library)

URN:SI:LJ-UNI-FDV:ADP:_________
The categorization of scientific publications using Slovenian Research Agency

Inclusion of study in bibliography of researcher as Complete Scientific Data
base or Corpus
1. PERSISTENCE AND STABILITY Longevity, new data must be currently deposited, clear definition of the data-publication process.

2. FUNDING STATEMENTS
   Literature provenance and grant funding information.

3. ENGLISH LANGUAGE METADATA
   Metadata in English or descriptive information in English, actual data in English, metadata and citations in the Roman alphabet.

4. PEER REVIEW
5. LINKS TO THE RESEARCH LITERATURE
Priority to data repositories that show the provenance relating the data set to the research literature that either produced or re-used the data.

6. INTERNATIONAL DIVERSITY
Among the repository’s contributing authors, editors, data producers, and deposited data.

7. DATA CITATION STANDARDS
Standardized citation format for each record, the DataCite citation standard.

8. AGE OF MATERIAL
No restrictions on the age of the deposited data, timeliness is also no restriction.
Why DCI?

Enhance usage of research data
Track publications, know your users
Provide transparency → citations
Researchers (data depositors) can see how someone else has used their data + get credit
How to achieve this?

Accepting **persistent identifier** (URN ?), inclusion in DCI (Thomson Reuters)

Manually? — traceability problem

Use **existing infrastructure** (Thomson Reuters)